Quantum Simulators

Rosario Fazio

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste - Italy



NEST, Scuola Normale Superiore and Istituto Nanoscienze-CNR, Pisa - Italy



Simulator

It is used in many contexts, such as simulation of technology for performance **optimisation** ...

The act of simulating something first requires that a model be developed ...

... it can be used to show the eventual real effects of alternative conditions ...

... it is also used when the real system **cannot be engaged**, because it may not be accessible, or it may be dangerous or ...

... or it is being designed but not yet built, or it may simply not exist.





...One can use machines and/or computers as simulators or **physical** systems as simulators

Buffon's needle



BUFFON SE BUTTATO ERA SIMULAZIONE E DOVEVA ESSERE ...

https://it-it.facebook.com/notes/il...è.../buffon...simulazione.../310984355598715/ BUFFON SE BUTTATO ERA SIMULAZIONE E DOVEVA ESSERE AMMONITO!! 12 dicembre 2011 alle ore 14:22 · Piace a 87 persone34 commentiCondivisioni: ...

Problema dell'ago di Buffon - UniFI - DiSIA

local.disia.unifi.it/VL/VL_IT/buffon/buffon2.html ▼ Simulazione 1. Esegui l' esperimento dell'ago di Buffon con le impostazioni predefinite e osserva gli esiti sullo spazio campionario. Osserva come i punti della ...

SIMULAZIONE FINALE CHAMPIONS LEAGUE JUVENTUS ... - YouTube



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OvsMI5dARMU 06 giu 2015 - Caricato da ThatsAndree______ SIMULAZIONE FINALE CHAMPIONS LEAGUE JUVENTUS-BARCELLONA FIFA



Georges-Louis Leclerc, Comte de Buffon

Simulator

It is a device that allows the imitative representation of the functioning of one system or process by means of the functioning of another...

... It allows the imitation of the operation of a real-world process or system over time



A quantum simulator ...

... for exploring reality and ...

...for making "fantasy" real

What is a quantum simulator?

Controlled quantum systems that allow to study specific difficult quantum problems (Feynman 1982)

Why do we need it?

Classical computation may not be able to offer required performances.

Explore "new" phenomena difficult to study elsewhere

How do we realise it?

Several experimental platforms are already available

Where do we stand at present?

Few examples

"What & Why"

. . .

Many-body systems ... "hard to understand":



Frustrated quantum magnets High-Tc superconductors

Quantum simulator: an experimental *controllable* system that reproduces the physics of a given model Hamiltonian



Questions not accessible via classical computation because the exp-large dimension of the Hilbert space (e.g. complex quantum ground states or dynamics in solid state systems)

Questions that are not directly "tractable/identified" in "nature" (e.g. thermalisation, defect formation, ...)

Refine our control on many-body systems

New states of matter (e.g. time-crystals, exotic qp, ...)



- Detailed microscopic knowledge
- Initial state preparation
- Highly tuneable
- High level of coherence over large time scales
- Good access for measurements

Experimental platforms (prehistory)

Josephson junction arrays in the quantum regime



J.E. Mooij group (TUDelft)

Exp platforms



Photonic quantum simulators









Optical lattices

Exp platforms



Superconducting & semiconducting nanostructures









Bose-Hubbard model

Bosons hopping on a d-dimensional lattice



J >> U superfluid



J << U Mott insulator



Bose-Hubbard model



M. Greiner *et al*, Nature (2002)



Fermi-Hubbard model



Different ingredients:

- fermions
- synthetic dimension
- frustration



...from ground state ... to dynamics

The entanglement entropy grows linearly with time saturating to a volume law

Calabrese & Cardy 2005

Our ability to simulate the system with a classical computer is spoiled

G. Vidal 2003 - 2004

Intimate connections between cond-mat stat-mech and quantum-info

... from ground state to dynamics



0.6 а 0.4 U/J = 2.44(2)U/J = 3.60(4)0.2 $K/J = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ $K_{I,I} = 7 \cdot 10^{-3}$ nodd 0.6 0.4 U/J = 5.16(7)U/J = 9.9(1)0.2 K/J = 9.10-3 $K/J = 15 \cdot 10^{-3}$ 0 2 3 2 0 0 4 5 3 5 1 4

4Jt / h

 $|\Psi(t)\rangle = U(t) |\Psi(0)\rangle$

Following the dynamics, by means of numerical simulation, of a manybody quantum system becomes increasingly hard.

а

Thermalisation

Ergodicity and thermalisation in quantum systems is one of the most intriguing problems in quantum physics

An isolated many-body system should be able to reach at long times a thermal steady state compatible with the initial energy density as far as local observables are concerned. **A large system acts as its own environment**

The quantum Newton's cradle: lack of thermalization in ID condensates



Integrable systems: appear to relax to a nonthermal steady state that keeps track of all the constants of motion: the generalised Gibbs ensemble

T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D.S. Weiss, Nature **440**, 900 (2006)

Many-body localisation

D. Basko, I. Aleiner, B. Altshuler , Ann. Phys. 2006

Breakdown of ergodicity in a generic, disordered many-body quantum system - no part of it acts as reservoir for the rest of the system.

Transport of mass/spin/ energy is frozen

Existence of an extensive number of local integrals of motions (an effective "I-bit" Hamiltonian) Quantum correlations (entanglement) can still propagate in the MBL phase

Many-body localisation

Absence of thermalisation through a measurement of imbalance in a one-dimensional interacting Fermi system in the presence of "pseudo-random" potential M. Schreiber *et al.*, Science (2015)



The imbalance indicates the failure of the system to thermalise. It is not a direct indication of the many-body dephasing taking place in the MBL phase





... "even more" exotic ...



Can time-translational invariance be spontaneously broken?

Wilczek 2013

Time-crystal



Time-crystals

Can time-translational invariance be spontaneously broken?

Wilczek 2013



A no-go theorem lead to the conclusion that systems in thermal equilibrium cannot manifest any time-crystalline behaviour.

Floquet time crystals: The time-translation symmetry breaking appears as the response of an observable which oscillates with periodicity that is a multiple of the imposed drive.

Boundary time crystals:Time-translational symmetry breaking occurs only in afraction of the sample.F. Iemini, A. Russomanno, J. Keeling, M. Schirò, M. Dalmonte,and R. Fazio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 035301 (2018)

Floquet time crystals

(TTSB in periodically driven systems)

 $\mathcal{H}(t+T) = \mathcal{H}(t)$

Else *et al* 2016 Khemani *et al* 2016



$$f(t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \psi | \hat{O}(t) | \psi \rangle$$
$$f(t + \tau_B) = f(t) \qquad \tau_B = nT$$





Trapped ions

 $H = \sum J_{ij} S_i^z S_j^z - h \sum S_i^x$

$$J_{ij} \sim \frac{1}{|i-j|^{\alpha}}$$

Time-crystals

Experimental realisation of Floquet time-crystals Choi *et al* 2016 Zhang *et al* 2016

0000000 **Trapped** ions C magnetizations etizations 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 Bases. ++ 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 magn 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 5 8-0.5 5 -0.5 5 -0.5 -0.5 Single Single Single -1 -1.0-1.060 80 100 20 40 60 80 100 40 60 80 100 40 60 80 100 20 40 20 20 Time (7) Time (T) Time (7) Time (7) Fourier spectrum spectrum 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 8 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.04 0.02 Fourier 0.02 0.02 Fourier 0.02 0.4 0.5 0.6 Four O antetetett! I Iftetetetetetet ********** 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.6 0.4 0.6 0.4 0.6 Frequency (1/7) Frequency (1/7) Frequency (1/T) Frequency (1/7) $\varepsilon = 0.03, 2J_0 t_2 = 0.072$ $\varepsilon = 0.03, W t_3 = 0$ $\varepsilon = 0.03, W t_3 = \pi$ $\varepsilon = 0.11, 2J_0 t_2 = 0.072$ Interactions off Interactions on Magnetization -0.0 -1.0 netization 0.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 - Ion no. 2 - lon no. 4 Ion no. 3 Ion no. 5 Ion no. 1 - Ion no. 3 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 UDE-0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -1.0-1.0-1.0-1.0 40 60 80 100 40 60 80 100 40 60 80 100 20 40 60 80 100 20 40 60 80 100 0 20 0 20 0 20 0 0 0 20 40 60 80 100 1.0 0.5 -0.5 -1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 ization 1.0 Ion no. 6 + Ion no. 8 Ion no. 9 Ion no. 7 Ion no. 10 - Ion no. i 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 뒁 0.0 ġ. -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -0.5 -1.0-1.0-1.020 40 60 40 60 40 60 80 100 0 20 40 60 80 100 0 20 40 60 80 100 0 80 100 0 20 80 100 0 20 20 40 60 80 Time (T) Time (7) Time (7) Time (T) Time (T) Time (7)

- Is it possible to have a Floquet timecrystal in the absence of disorder?
- How to observe direct transitions between different crystalline phases

Floquet time-crystals in infinite-range models

A. Russomanno *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 214307 (2017) F. Surace *et al*, in preparation

...where do we stand

51 vs 53 qubits

at present ?

Probing many-body dynamics on a 51-atom quantum simulator

Hannes Bernien,¹ Sylvain Schwartz,^{1,2} Alexander Keesling,¹ Harry Levine,¹ Ahmed Omran,¹ Hannes Pichler,^{3,1} Soonwon Choi,¹ Alexander S. Zibrov,¹ Manuel Endres,⁴ Markus Greiner,¹ Vladan Vuletić,² and Mikhail D. Lukin¹

¹Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA ²Department of Physics and Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA ³ITAMP, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA ⁴Division of Physics, Mathematics and Astronomy, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

Controllable, coherent many-body systems provide unique insights into fundamental properties of quantum matter, allow for the realization of novel quantum phases, and may ultimately lead to computational systems that are exponentially superior to existing classical approaches. Here, we demonstrate a novel platform for the creation of controlled many-body quantum matter. Our approach makes use of deterministically prepared, reconfigurable arrays of individually controlled, cold atoms. Strong, coherent interactions are enabled by coupling to atomic Rydberg states. We realize a programmable Ising-type quantum spin model with tunable interactions and system sizes of up to 51 qubits. Within this model we observe transitions into ordered states (Rydberg crystals) that break various discrete symmetries, verify high-fidelity preparation of ordered states, and investigate dynamics across the phase transition in large arrays of atoms. In particular, we observe a novel type of robust many-body dynamics corresponding to persistent oscillations of crystalline order after a sudden quantum quench. These observations enable new approaches for exploring many-body phenomena and open the door for realizations of novel quantum algorithms.

Thank you

Observation of a Many-Body Dynamical Phase Transition with a 53-Qubit Quantum Simulator

J. Zhang,¹ G. Pagano,¹ P. W. Hess,¹ A. Kyprianidis,¹ P. Becker,¹ H. Kaplan,¹ A. V. Gorshkov,¹ Z.-X. Gong,¹ and C. Monroe^{1,2}

¹Joint Quantum Institute and Joint Center for Quantum Information and Computer Science, University of Maryland Department of Physics and National Institute of Standards and Technology, College Park, MD 20742 ²IonQ, Inc., College Park, MD 20740 (Dated: August 7, 2017)

> A quantum simulator is a restricted class of quantum computer that controls the interactions between quantum bits in a way that can be mapped to certain difficult quantum many-body problems. As more control is exerted over larger numbers of qubits, the simulator can tackle a wider range of problems, with the ultimate limit being a universal quantum computer that can solve general classes of hard problems. We use a quantum simulator composed of up to 53 qubits to study a non-equilibrium phase transition in the transverse field Ising model of magnetism, in a regime where conventional statistical mechanics does not apply. The qubits are represented by trapped ion spins that can be prepared in a variety of initial pure states. We apply a global long-range Ising interaction with controllable strength and range, and measure each individual qubit with near 99% efficiency. This allows the single-shot measurement of arbitrary many-body correlations for the direct probing of the dynamical phase transition and the uncovering of computationally intractable features that rely on the long-range interactions and high connectivity between the qubits.